	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/831,272	KIRSCH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Maria B Marvich, PhD	1636
All Participants:	Status of Application: _	
(1) Maria B Marvich, PhD. 1/N/M	(3)	
(2) Christopher Kay.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 July 2004	Time: <u>10 am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	licant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE An initial restriction requirement which is not of record was ve around 6/10/2003. The substance of this restriction and the	erified to be a telephonic restriction	requirement that had been made
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. 	The examiner will provide a water record of the substance of	the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appli	cant/Applicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate)